IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION No. 7:16-CV-18-D

FREDRIC N. ESHELMAN,	<u> </u>
Plaintiff,)
v.) VERDICT FORM
PUMA BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC.	
Defendant.)
We the jury unanimously answer the	issues as follows:
	ISSUE 1
	re presentation, were defendant Puma Biotechnology, ic N. Eshelman was "replaced as CEO of PPD" after "false?
ANSWER: Yes	No
If you answered "No" to Issue 1, then the verdict form.	the case is over. The foreperson should date and sign
If you answered "Yes" to Issue 1, the	n answer Issue 2.

ISSUE 2

Did defendant Puma Biotechnology, Inc. act with actual malice when it accused plaintiff Fredric N. Eshelman of being "replaced as CEO of PPD" after being "involved in clinical trial fraud"? ANSWER: Yes No
If you answered "Yes" to Issues 1 and 2, answer Issue 3.
If you answered "No" to Issue 1 or Issue 2, then the case is over. The foreperson should date and sign the verdict form.
ISSUE 3
What amount of compensatory damages is plaintiff Fredric N. Eshelman entitled to recover from defendant Puma Biotechnology, Inc.?
\$ 15,050,000.
These are our unanimous answers. So say we all. This 5 day of March 2019. REDACTED VERSION Pursuant to the E-Government Act and the federal rules, the unredacted version of this document has been filed under seal. Signature of Foreperson

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION No. 7:16-CV-18-D

FREDRIC N. ESHELMAN,)	
Plaintiff,)	• (
v.)	VERDICT FORM
PUMA BIOTECHNOLOGY, INC.)	
Defendant.)	

We the jury unanimously answer the issues as follows:

ISSUE 1

1. What amount of punitive damages, if any, does the jury in its discretion award to the plaintiff Fredric N. Eshelman?

s 6.5 million.

This is our unanimous answer. So say we all. This \(\frac{15}{20} \) day of March 2019. REDACTED VERSION

Pursuant to the E-Government Act and the federal rules, the unredacted version of this document has been filed under seal.

Signature of Foreperson